

## DEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,587	11/24/2003	Mark D. Ackerman	6647-051	5785	
45842 MARGER IO	7590 05/05/200 HNSON & MCCOLLO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
210 SW MORRISON STREET ROBINSON, GR			GRETA LEE		
SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2168			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,587	ACKERMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Greta L. Robinson	2168		

	Greta L. Robinson	2168					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 1 MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	TE below);					
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the new or amended.</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
io. 🔲 Oulei							

/Greta L. Robinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168 May 01, 2008 Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims 65-107 requires further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendment does not overcome the rejection cited under 35 USC 101. Applicant argues amended claims 3, 7 and 8 recite \*a data structure to store a schema for a generic document" and similar language in claims 13, 17, 20 and 26. However the limitation of intended use (i.e. to store) does not specify a specific function; therfore the rejection is respectfully maintained. New claims 65-107 would require further consideration.